

Internet Appendix for
 An Anatomy of the Market Return
 Paul Schneider
 (not for publication)
 15 February, 2018

IA.A. Additional Examples

IA.A.1. Black-Scholes Model and Symmetry

Example IA.A.1 (Black-Scholes is Put-Call-Symmetric). In the Black-Scholes model, the log forward gross return is normally distributed

$$\log R \stackrel{\mathbb{Q}}{\sim} N\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t), \sigma\sqrt{T-t}\right).$$

From Theorem 2.5 in Carr and Lee (2009) an asset is put-call-symmetric if the distribution of its log return is symmetric under \mathbb{H} . For this purpose we investigate the characteristic function of $\log R$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}^{1/2} [e^{iu \log R}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [e^{(1/2+iu) \log R}]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [R^{1/2}]} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2 (T-t)\right) \quad (\text{IA.A.1})$$

where i denotes the imaginary unit. The characteristic function is real and even, and hence the distribution of $\log R$ is symmetric under \mathbb{H} . Equivalently, the implied volatility surface of the Black-Scholes model as a constant is trivially symmetric. \diamond

IA.A.2. Some Transform Applications in Economics and Finance

In this Section I contrast my approach with three existing applications using the same technique. The first is the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), the second is a closed-form expression for the transition density of a diffusion process, and the third are spectral methods in time series.

IA.A.2.1. Capital Asset Pricing Model

Denote as previously by $\mathcal{M} = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$ the forward pricing kernel for a given time interval $[t, T]$. From no-arbitrage, the simply compounded forward return ξ of an arbitrary traded asset satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\xi] = -\text{Cov}^{\mathbb{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \xi). \quad (\text{IA.A.2})$$

Evaluating Eq. (IA.A.2) for the simply compounded forward market return and the forward return on some asset R_i yields

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R_i - 1] = \frac{\text{Cov}^{\mathbb{P}}(\mathcal{M}, R_i)}{\text{Cov}^{\mathbb{P}}(\mathcal{M}, R)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R - 1]. \quad (\text{IA.A.3})$$

Linear asset pricing models start from assuming that the pricing kernel is linear in a set of factors $F := F_1, \dots, F_j$.

Alternatively, one could start from assuming that $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2$ and develop $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$ against a multivariate polynomial in F . Take for simplicity $F = R - 1$, univariate in the forward market return. Stopping the polynomial expansion after the first order gives

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}^{(1)} = a_0 + a_1(R - 1), \quad (\text{IA.A.4})$$

in analogy to Eq. (9), where

$$a_1 \propto \left\langle \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}, R \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2}.$$

The constant of proportionality arises from the orthonormalization of the canonical polynomial basis and likewise a_0 is proportional to constant parts of the orthogonalized polynomial in F . Plugging in the linear $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2$ projection (IA.A.4) of \mathcal{M} onto $R - 1$ into Eq. (IA.A.2) gives

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R_i - 1] = \frac{\text{Cov}^{\mathbb{P}}(R, R_i)}{\mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{P}} [R]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R - 1],$$

the CAPM prediction. Methodologically identical to the framework outlined in Section 3.6, the above relation highlights a serious shortcoming arising from taking the unobservable \mathbb{P} distribution as the \mathcal{L}^2 weight: the ratio $\frac{\text{Cov}^{\mathbb{P}}(R, R_i)}{\mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{P}} [R]}$ is comprised of moments which need to be estimated unconditionally from sample averages. In contrast, the coefficients in Eq. (9) are functions of moments with respect to the observable forward-neutral density \mathbb{Q} and as such fully conditional.

IA.A.2.2. Density Approximations

Ait-Sahalia (2002) aims at developing a closed-form expression of the transition density $d\mathbb{Q}_X$ of $X_t | X_0 = x$, where X_t is a realization from a possibly nonlinear diffusion process. For this purpose he scales and shifts X into \tilde{X} with corresponding transition law $d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_X$. He then takes an auxiliary standard normal density $d\mathbb{N}$ and expands the likelihood ratio in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}}^2$. He shows, under technical conditions on the drift and diffusion functions of X , that

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_X}{d\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}}^2,$$

with the expectation inner product taken with respect to \mathbb{N} and therefore analogously to Eq. (9)

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_X}{d\mathbb{N}}^{(J)} = \sum_{i=0}^J \left\langle \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_X}{d\mathbb{N}}, \varphi_i \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}}^2} \varphi_i,$$

with φ_i the i -th Hermite polynomial, an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}}^2$. It is the i -th inner product $\left\langle \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_X}{d\mathbb{N}}, \varphi_i \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}}^2}$ which he approximates using an additional expansion around the infinitesimal generator of \tilde{X} , similarly to Linetzky (2004a) and Linetzky (2004b). This second approximation step is

avoided in [Filipović et al. \(2013\)](#), who exploit that polynomial moments of affine processes are known in closed form. To relate the method and goal of [Aït-Sahalia \(2002\)](#) to mine, his object of interest is the likelihood ratio $\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_X}{d\mathbb{N}}$, which multiplied with the standard normal density yields a J -order approximation of the unknown transition density $d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$, while in my approach the object of interest is the gross equity return R . As far as the involved probability distributions are concerned, both the original measure $d\mathbb{Q}_X$ and the standard normal distribution are obviously model-based, while the inverse Hellinger measure can be inferred from option prices without resorting to a model.

IA.A.2.3. Time Series Analysis

Another application in signal processing in finance and economics is concerned with time series, viewing an observed sample path as discrete evaluation points of a deterministic and periodic function of time, and working out the importance of frequencies in representing this sample path in terms of trigonometric basis functions.²² Consider for simplicity a univariate process X observed at times $0 = t_1, \dots, t_n = T$ and write $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top$ for the discretely observed realizations from this process. For $y, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the complex n -dimensional vector space, define the inner product

$$\langle y, z \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} := \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \bar{z}_i,$$

where \bar{z} denotes the complex conjugate of the complex number z . An orthonormal basis of this n -dimensional complex space weighted with a uniform density is $e := (e_1, \dots, e_n)^\top$, where


$$e_j := n^{-1/2} (e^{i\omega_j}, e^{i2\omega_j}, \dots, e^{in\omega_j})^\top, \\ j \in F_n := \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : -\pi < 2\pi j/n \leq \pi\},$$

and $\omega_j := 2\pi j/n$. This basis is the canonical Fourier series, scaled with $n^{-1/2}$. Analogously to Eq. (9) we have the transform representation


$$x = \sum_{j \in F_n} \langle x, e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} e_j. \quad (\text{IA.A.5})$$

By construction, the energy $\|x\|_{\mathbb{C}^n}^2$ of the signal corresponds to n times the sample second moment of the time series.


IA.B. Additional Figures




(a) Low η



(b) High η




(c) Low η




(d) High η

Fig. IA.1. Lottery-Implied Pricing Kernels and Coefficients. Figures (a) and (b) show forward pricing kernels implied by the putting the lotteries A and B from Section 3.5 onto a joint tree implying forward probabilities given in the caption of Figure 3. Figure (a) is computed for η at one quarter of the admissible range, Figure (b) at three quarters. The parameter x is also set as a function of k to keep the forward equity premium constant at 5%. Panels (c) and (d) show the coefficients c_0 and c_1 from Eq. (9) for the same parameterization.


²² See, for example, [Brockwell and Davis \(1993, Chapter 10\)](#) for an introduction. This Section follows his notation.




(a) Variance




(b) Skew




(c) Scaled Skew




(d) c_2




(a) Variance



(b) Skew



(c) Scaled Skew



(d) c_2

Fig. IA.2. Lottery-Implied Contracts and Coefficients (low zero mean-risk). The figures show forward prices of higher-order risk aversion and in an economy where the market is comprised of a cross section of lottery A and B with martingale probabilities displayed in Figure 3 with low zero mean risk η as a function of sure losses k . Figure (a) shows the price of variance, Figure (b) the price of skewness, and (c) a scaled version assimilating central skewness. The parameter x is also set as a function of k to keep the forward equity premium constant at 5%. Panels (d) shows the coefficient c_2 from Eq. (9) for the same parameterization.

Fig. IA.3. Lottery-Implied Contracts and Coefficients (high zero mean-risk). The figures show forward prices of higher-order risk aversion and in an economy where the market is comprised of a cross section of lottery A and B with martingale probabilities displayed in Figure 3 with high zero mean risk η as a function of sure losses k . Figure (a) shows the price of variance, Figure (b) the price of skewness, and (c) a scaled version assimilating central skewness. The parameter x is also set as a function of k to keep the forward equity premium constant at 5%. Panels (d) shows the coefficient c_2 from Eq. (9) for the same parameterization.




Fig. IA.4. Basis Function Autocorrelations. The panels show autocorrelations of basis functions ϕ_i from Eq. (19) for orders $i = 1$, and 2 (solid blue). The dotted red lines represent 95% confidence intervals. The data producing the panels are European options on the S&P 500 from 1990 to 2016 with maturities 1, 3, and 12 months.




Fig. IA.5. Factor Autocorrelations. The panels show autocorrelations of factors F_i from Eq. (19) for orders $i = 1$, and 2 (solid blue). The dotted red lines represent 95% confidence intervals. The data producing the panels are European options on the S&P 500 from 1990 to 2016 with maturities 1, 3, and 12 months.